FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

2011 AUG 17 A 10: 00

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT		CLERK US DISTRICT COURT ALEXANDRIA, VIRGUAZ
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:11 CV 8 44
Plaintiff,)))	cm+1/rch
v.	.)	COMPLAINT
DYNCORP INTERNATIONAL, LLC, Defendant.)))	JURY TRIAL DEMAND

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, to correct unlawful employment practices based on sex and retaliation, and to provide appropriate relief to James Friso ("Friso"), who was adversely affected by such practices. Plaintiff, the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), alleges that defendant, DynCorp International, LLC ("Defendant") subjected Friso to a hostile work environment based on his sex, male. The Commission also alleges that Defendant transferred Friso in retaliation for complaining about the sex-based harassment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e–5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII"), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been a limited liability corporation headquartered in the state of Virginia and in the city of Falls Church, and has continuously had at least 15 employees. Defendant operates as a military contractor and aircraft maintenance company.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e (b), (g), and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Friso filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 7. Since at least November 2006, Defendant engaged in unlawful employment practices at its Taji, Iraq jobsite (hereinafter "the jobsite"), in violation of Section 703(a)(1) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2(a)(1), as follows:

- a. Defendant hired Friso as an aircraft sheet metal/structural mechanic on or about May 3, 2006, and assigned him to report to their Mannheim, Germany jobsite. In or around October 2006, Friso was assigned to the DynCorp OLC Modification Team and was deployed to Taji, Iraq.
- b. Around November 2006, one of Friso's male co-workers (the "Co-worker") began making derogatory sex-based comments to Friso on a daily basis. The conduct continued until January 2007, when Defendant transferred Friso to Mannheim, Germany.
- c. Specifically, the Co-worker called Friso "faggot," "dick-sucker" and/or "queer" on a daily basis. The Co-worker frequently referenced Friso's size in his insults, for example using terms such as "whiney little bitch," "short little mother fucker" and "short little bitch."
- d. In addition to the foregoing conduct, the Co-worker accused Friso of engaging in homosexual acts, and described such homosexual acts to Friso. For example, on one occasion, the Co-worker told Friso to "go back to Mannheim and suck [Friso's former supervisor's] dick." The Co-worker made this comment in the presence of Defendant's management, but management took no action in response.
- e. Friso, who is approximately 5'4" tall, soft spoken and of small stature, was harassed by the Co-worker based on his sex, because Friso does not fit the male gender stereotype, does not meet his co-worker's idea of how men look or behave and/or does not conform to male gender stereotypes. The male Co-worker is

- approximately 6'0" tall and knew that Friso was married to a female at the time of the harassment.
- f. From November 2006, Friso regularly complained to Defendant's onsite managers about the Co-worker's harassment. On or about November 4, 2007, Friso sent an email to Defendant's Regional Manager to complain about the harassment but the harassment continued. Friso continued to complain to the onsite managers, who generally responded by telling Friso that if he continued to complain about the Co-worker, Defendant would get rid of him (Friso).
- g. Friso sent e-mail complaints on December 26 and 27, 2006, and January 3 and 4, 2007, to Defendant's regional manager and Human Resources representatives ("HR representatives") detailing the harassment. Neither responded to Friso's email complaints.
- h. On or about January 4, 2007, Friso and two of his co-workers made a joint call to make unrelated complaints/inquiries to one of Defendant's HR representatives. During the call Friso inquired about whether Defendant's HR representatives had received his emailed complaints.
- i. On or about January 25, 2007, three days after Friso trained a new employee who had arrived at the Taji site and was purportedly being trained to move into a position in Mannheim, Germany, Friso was transferred to Mannheim, Germany. The work that Friso had been doing in Taji, Iraq was taken over by the employee whom Friso had trained. The Co-worker who had subjected Friso to the sexbased harassment continued to work at Taji. Friso's transfer from Taji to Mannheim resulted in a loss of pay for Friso.

- 8. The effect of the practices complained of above in paragraph 7 has been to deprive Friso of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect his status as an employee because of his sex, male and in retaliation for his complaints about employment discrimination.
 - 9. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were intentional.
- 10. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Friso.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from maintaining a hostile work environment based on sex, or engaging in any other employment practice that discriminates on the basis of sex, and from retaliating against employees who oppose practices made unlawful by Title VII.
- B. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs that provide equal employment opportunities for men, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order Defendant to make Friso whole by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of the unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to reinstatement or front pay.

- D. Order Defendant to make Friso whole by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described above, including but not limited to housing expenses, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant to make Friso whole by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices described above, including but not limited to emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, humiliation, loss of self-esteem and loss of civil rights, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant to pay Friso punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct described above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
 - H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

DATED this the day of August, 2011.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

P. DAVID LOPEZ General Counsel

JAMES L. LEE Deputy General Counsel

GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS
Associate General Counsel
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
131 M Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20507

LYNETTE A. BARNES Regional Attorney Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Charlotte District Office 129 W. Trade Street, Suite 400 Charlotte, NC 28202

TRACY HUDSON SPICER

Supervisory Trial Attorney

Edward O'Farrell Loughlin

Trial Attorney

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Washington Field Office

131 M Street, N.E., Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20507

Telephone: (202) 419-0748

Facsimile: (202) 419-0739

edward.loughlin@eeoc.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	DEFENDANTS				
United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission			Dyncorp International, LLC			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LANE	First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) CONDEMNATION CASES, UNIVOLVED.			
• •	, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)				
Washington, D.C. 2050		H	The state of the s			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
■ U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
	, ,	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 . 3 Foreign Nation	0606		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condenmation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property Damage PRISONAL INJURY Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
15€1 Original □ 2 F	State Court Appellate Court	Reopened specific		on Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a little VII of the Civil Rights Act of Brief description of cause: Sex-based harassment and reta					
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:	N	DEMAND S	CHECK YES on JURY DEMAN	nly if demanded in complaint: ID: Yes No		
VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 08/17/2011	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF REGORD	h			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG	JUDGE		